

Minutes of the Plan Commission – Town of Spring Green

August 10, 2010 – Spring Green Town Hall, E4411 Kennedy Road, Spring Green, WI 53588

- 1. Public Hearing called to order at 7:00 pm by Iausly.**
- 2. Roll Call- Iausly, Radel, Frank, Robson and Thomas present.**
- 3. Iausly attested that proper public notice had been made.**
- 4. Motion approving agenda as posted / Robson / Radel. Motion passed.**
- 5. Public Meeting:**

The matter being heard was on the inclusion of the Planned Unit Development (PUD) under Element 7 Land Use of the Town of Spring Green Comprehensive Plan.

Mark Peck stated that he was in support of the PUD but felt that the wording “environmentally significant and sensitive” is too ambiguous so he would like to see the following 2 changes to the document;

- Remove the sentence “It is intended that the buildable lots created using a PUD be placed on portion of the property that would minimize the conversion of agricultural lands regardless of soil type to nonagricultural land uses and would protect environmentally significant and sensitive portions of the property from development.”
- Remove the sentence “It is also intended that this cluster of lots and future homes be placed on a portion of the property that would minimize the conversion of agricultural lands and protect environmentally significant and sensitive portions of the property from development.”

He also felt that wording needed to be changed in one of the objectives;

- Change the objective to state “To encourage that newly formulated lots from the application of the PUD **could be** clustered on one area of the original farm, not to exceed 3 lots in any one single cluster.

Bill Mertens feels that the concept is good because it allows farmers more options and feels that the lot size restrictions are applicable. He is in favor of the inclusion of the PUD.

Kolby Hirth feels that the sentences Mark Peck stated he would like removed, are consisted with what was said at previous meetings and should not be removed. Verbiage is correct and allows us to keep property viable and attractive for the long future. She stated that she is in favor of the document as is.

Linda Feiner, Marita Weidner, Tom Feiner, Jeff Sprecher, Jodi Frank, Lynn Foster, Jeff Foster, Jeff Neuheisel, and Jerry Schmidt wished not to speak but were in support of the PUD.

- 6. Public Comment:** none.
- 7. Adjournment:**
 - **Motion to adjourn / Thomas / Frank. Motion carried.**

(Michelle Thomas, Secretary)

(Fred Iausly, Chairperson)